ACLARACION DE www.radarmalvinas.com.ar

El siguiente documento se encuentra en el sitio

http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk

en donde usted puede leerlo directamente en su formato original y acceder al resto del sitio.

Copyright © 2012 National Archives UK

Se refiere a:

11MAY82 0142Z - UKMIS a FCO. Los argentinos no está preparados para negociar.

(PREM 19/647) (desclasificado DIC 2012)

A efectos de preservarlo como documento histórico para el caso en que el archivo original o el sitio que lo contiene no figurasen más en internet, a continuación se ha realizado una copia.



00 FNational Argeines Blyrm110700Z)

GHS 750

CONFIDENTIAL
DESKBY 110700Z
FM UKMIS NEW YORK 110142Z MAY 82
TO IMMEDIATE FCO
TELEGRAM NUMBER 705 OF 10 MAY
INFO IMMEDIATE WASHINGTON.

8765 8765

(181)

MY 2 IPTS: FALKLANDS.

- 1. AFTER THE EXPERIECES OF THE PAST WEEKS, I DO NOT THINK THAT ANY OF US RATED VERY HIGH THE CHANCES THAT THE ARGENTINES WOULD BE PREPARED TO NEGOTIATE SERIOUSLY WITH PEREZ DE CHELLAR ANY MORE THAN THEY DID WITH HAIG AND THE PERUVIANS. TODAY'S EXPERIENCE BRINGS ME VERY CLOSE TO BELIEVING THAT THEY ARE STILL NOT INTERESTED IN REACHING A NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT ON TERMS WHICH WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO US, AND THAT IT IS RAPIDLY BECOMING A QUESTION OF WHO WRONG-FOOTS WHOM WHEN THE NEGOTIATIONS BREAK DOWN.
- 2. QUITE APART FROM THEIR TOTALLY UNCHANGED AND UNSATISFACTORY RESPONSE ON THE QUESTION OF PREJUDGING THE OUTCOME OF THE NEGOTIATIONS IN THE INTERIM PERIOD, I AM FORTIFIED IN THIS BELIEF BY THEIR RENEWED INSISTENCE ON INCLUDING SOUTH GEORGIA, BY THEIR REINJECTION OF THE NOTION OF FREEDOM OF IMMIGRATION AND PURCHASE OF PROPERTY, AND BY THE FRIVOLITY OF THEIR INSISTENCE ON OUR TOTAL WITHDRAWAL TO A POINT 2,888 NAUTICAL MILES FROM THE ISLANDS IN PARALLEL WITH THEIR PARTIAL WITHDRAWAL OF 158 MILES. ON THIS POINT, THEY KNOW PERFECTLY WELL THAT THE 2,888 MILE CONCEPT WAS INTRODUCED AT A TIME WHEN THE TASK FORCE WAS AT LEAST THAT FAR FROM THE FALKEANDS.
- J. THE IR EMPHASIS ON SOUTH GEORGIA AND EXCLUSIVE UN ADMINISTRATION
 LEADS ME TO BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE AIMING TO WRONG-FOOT US BY
 PRESENTING US AS HAVING DESTROYED CHANCES OF AGREEMENT ON
 GROUNDS WHERE THEY COULD COUNT ON MAJORITY SUPPORT IN THE UN.
 4. J DID NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO HAVE A PRIVATE CONVERSATION WITH
 PEREZ DE CUELLAR THIS EVENING, BUT HIS WHOLE MANNER DURING THE
 MEETING INDICATED THAT HE HAS COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT WE
 ARE NOT ENGAGED IN A SERIOUS NEGOTIATION WITH A GENUINE
 CHANCE OF A SUCCESSFUL DUTCOME. HE WAS IN A SOMBRE MOOD.

 5. AGAINST THIS BACKGROUND, I PROPOSE THAT MY TACTICS TOMORROW
 SHOULD BE AS FOLLOWS. THEY WOULD COMBINE GIVING THE ARGENTINES
 A LAST CHANCE TO DEMONSTRATE A GENUINE CHANGE OF HEART AND, IF THE

MEGOTIATIONS ARE TO FAIL LEAVING US ON THE RIGHT FOOT. I SHOULD

A LAST CHANCE TO DEMUNDINALE NEGOTIONATATEDINESURARE TO FAIL, LEAVING US ON THE RIGHT FOOT. I SHOULD CONFIRM TO PEREZ DE CUELLAR, AS I EFFECTIVELY DID THIS EVENING, THAT WE COULD NOT NEGOTIATE THE DETAILS OF AN INTERIM ARRANGEMENT UNTIL WE WERE SURE THAT WE WERE DISCUSSING A GENUINE INTERIM ARRANGEMENT AND NOT SIMPLY A BRIEFLY DELAYED TRANSFER OF SO EIGNTY AND POSSESSION TO ARGENTINA. I SHOULD CONFIRM TO HIM, AGAIN AS I SUGGESTED THIS EVENING, THAT IT WOULD NOT BE WORTH MY PRODUCING FRESH LANGUAGE ON THE DETAILED POINTS IN THE INTERIM ARRANGEMENT UNTIL HE HAD EXTRACTED A SPECIFIC FORMULATION FROM ROS ON THE QUESTION OF THE NON PREJUDGEMENT OF THE OUTCOME OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. I WOULD STUDY THIS FORMULATION AND REFER IT TO YOU FOR COMMENTS IN ORDER TO SEE WHETHER THERE WAS A POSSIEILITY OF A SATISFACTORY AGREEMENT ON THIS BASIC POINT. IF THERE WAS, WE COULD THEN GO ON TO NEGOTIATE THE DETAILS OF THE AGREEMENT. IF THERE WASNOT, WE WOULD HAVE TO REVIEW THE SITUATION AND DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS WORTH CONTINUING WITH THE PRESENT EXERCISE. 6. AS REPORTED IN MY FIRST IPT, I EXPLAINED REPEATEDLY TO PEREZ DE CUELLAR THAT THE PHRASE QUOTE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE RIGHTS CLAIMS AND POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES' WAS NOT ENOUGH IN ITSELF. ARGENTINA DID NOT REGARD ITSELF AS QUOTE CLAIMING UNQUOTE SOVER-EIGNTY. THEY REGARDED ARGENTINE SOVEREIGNTY AS AN ACTUALITY WHICH ONLY LACKED RECOGNITION BY US. HENCE, WE HAD TO INSIST ON THE ADDITIONAL PHRASE QUOTE WITHOUT PREJUDGING THE OUTCOME OF THE NEGOTIATIONS UNQUOTE. I ALSO EXPLAINED THAT COSTA MENDEZ'S APPARENTLY POSITIVE REMARKS IN PUBLIC ABOUT SOVEREIGNTY NOT BEING A. PRE-CONDITION REFERRED IN FACT TO THE IMMEDIATE RECOGNITION BY THE UK OF ARGENTINE SOVEREIGNTY BEFORE THE NEGOTIATIONS STARTED. WE WERE NOT DECEIVED BY THIS PHRASE! HENCE OUR INSISTENCE ON THE LANGUAGE I HAD PROPOSED. IF THE ARGENTINES WERE GENUINE, WHY DID THEY OBJECT TO THE INSERTION OF THIS LANGUAGE? NO ONE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE TABLE COULD PRODUCE AN ADEQUATE ANSWER TO THIS.

PARSONS

MNNK

NYFO 005/18

X

ER